

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Aug 12, 2022

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AMBER RAE DIAZ,

No. 2:22-CV-00090-MKD

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
PURSUANT TO LCivR 41(b)(2)

v.

SHERRI OERTEL,

Defendant.

On April 28, 2022, the Court received Plaintiff's *pro se* civil rights complaint. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee to commence this action or properly seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

By letter dated May 2, 2022, the Clerk of Court directed Plaintiff to remedy these deficiencies. ECF No. 5. She did not do so. The letter also instructed Plaintiff to keep the Court informed of any change of address: "If you do not provide written notice of your change of address, the District Court Executive/Clerk cannot be responsible for your inability to receive Court orders and correspondence." *Id.*

1 By Order filed May 31, 2022, the Court directed Plaintiff to either pay the  
2 applicable filing fee of \$402.00 or properly request leave to proceed *in forma*  
3 *pauperis*. ECF No. 7. That Order, addressed to Plaintiff at the Eastern State  
4 Hospital, was returned as undeliverable on June 6, 2022. ECF No. 8. Local Civil  
5 Rule 41(b)(2) requires a *pro se* litigant to keep the Court and opposing parties  
6 advised as to her current mailing address. If mail directed to a *pro se* plaintiff is  
7 returned by the Postal Service, she has sixty (60) days to notify the Court and  
8 opposing parties of her current address or the Court may dismiss the action.  
9 LCivR 41(b)(2).

10 The Court has an interest in managing its docket and in the prompt  
11 resolution of civil matters. *See Destfino v. Reiswig*, 630 F.3d 952, 959 (9th Cir.  
12 2011) (affirming district court's inherent power to control its docket); *see also*  
13 *Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642-44 (9th Cir. 2002) (discussing factors to  
14 consider in dismissing a claim for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with  
15 court order, including the public's interest in expeditious resolution, the court's  
16 need to manage docket, and the risk of prejudice to defendants). Because Plaintiff  
17 has failed to keep the Court apprised of her current address and has not satisfied  
18 the filing fee and *in forma pauperis* requirements, the Court finds it appropriate to  
19 dismiss her action.

1 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED:**

2 1. This action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** pursuant to

3 LCivR 41(b)(2).

4 2. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of  
5 this Order could not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in  
6 law or fact.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order,  
8 **enter judgment**, provide copies to Plaintiff at her last known address, and  
9 **CLOSE** the file.

10 DATED August 12, 2022.

11 *s/Mary K. Dimke*  
12 MARY K. DIMKE  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE